TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PF030154		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.		International filing	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/FR2004/050463		463 27.09.20	04	07.10.2003			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04L29/06, H04N7/24, H04B7/185						
Applicant THOMS	ON LICENS	ING					
1	•	national preliminary examination		s International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. Thi	s REPORT consists	of a total of 6	sheets, includi	ing this cover sheet.			
3. Thi	s report is also acco	mpanied by ANNEXES, comprising	ng:				
a.	ri e	applicant and to the International		sheets, as follows:			
a.	sheets	of the description, claims and/or	trawings which have been	a amended and are the basis for this report and/or Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
		ctions).					
	1 1	•	-	onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental			
Ь.		International Bureau only) a total	of (indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))			
0.			or (stome type and nome				
		o, in computer readable form only f the Administrative Instructions).	, as indicated in the Supp	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables lemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see			
4. Thi	s report contains inc	lications relating to the following i	tems:	,			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion w	ith regard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Artic citations and explanations support		velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internation	nal application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of	this report			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.			Telephone No				

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050463

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		d to the language, this report is based on the internation	nal application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise
		report is based on translations from the original language h is the language of a translation furnished for the purp international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	oses of:	,
2.	receiving (this report	d to the elements of the international application, this Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are it.): International application as originally filed/furnished lescription:	report is based on (replacement sheets wi e referred to in this report as "originally	hich have been furnished to the filed" and are not annexed to
	page	s <u>1-12</u>		as originally filed/furnished
	page	s*	received by this Authority on	
	page	·s*	received by this Authority on	
	the c	claims:		
	nos.	1-7		as originally filed/furnished
	nos.	*	as amended (together with a	ny statement) under Article 19
	nos.	*	received by this Authority on	
	nos.	*	received by this Authority on	
	the d	drawings:		
	shee	ts 1/5-5/5		as originally filed/furnished
	shee	ts*	received by this Authority on	-
	shee	ts*		
		quence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	-	
1			kinai box Kelaning to sequence Eisanig.	
3.	☐ The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
	닏	the claims, nos.		
	닏	the drawings, sheets/figs		
1	ᆜ	the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	This they	s report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	dments annexed to this report and listed to lled, as indicated in the Supplemental Box	below had not been made, since (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		*
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
L.	If item 4 a	upplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	perseded."	

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050463

Box	x No. V Reasoned stateme citations and expl	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-7	YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
		Claims	1-7	NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES	
		Claims		NO	

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. In the present report, reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: EP 1 001 631 A (CANAL PLUS SA) 17 May 2000 (2000-05-17);
 - D2: STALLARD, PAILA: "DVB thoughts on service discovery and selection" IETF DRAFT MMUSIC GROUP, 10 February 2003 (2003-02-10), XP015005363.
 - 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3).

Document D1, which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1, describes a routine method for transporting DVB streams and services via satellite. This is also acknowledged by the applicant in figure 1 and on

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050463

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

page 3.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 differs from the teaching in D1 in that the DVB service and stream production chain is transported via IP instead of via satellite.

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of transferring said DVB services and streams from a satellite transport system to an IP transport system.

A person skilled in the art seeking to solve this problem would have read through the existing literature to determine whether or not such a problem has already been solved and, if so, in what manner. It follows that such a person would consider D2, which relates specifically to recommendations for transporting DVB services and streams via IP and explains how to use the IP addresses and ports to discover and select said services (SD&S in D1, paragraph 3. Assumptions, criteria 100, 1005 and 200). Moreover, since NIT and SDT interleaving is conventional in DVB (see, in particular, D1, figures 5 and 6) and since the construction of a list to be presented to the user is known from D2 (paragraph 4.2 Selection "Prior to selection, a list (or some other representation) of the discovered service will be presented to the user"), the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application is not

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050463

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7

These claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, fulfil the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3)):

- claims 2 and 3: the fact that the IP address is provided manually by the user or automatically by the network is envisaged in the draft IETF in order to provide DVB services via IP (see, for example, criterion 1005 on page 3 of D2);
- claim 4: providing one or more services via the DVB stream is a routine optional embodiment in DVB;
- claim 5, containing the list of services in the NIT is a routine option in DVB (see also D1, figures 5 and 6) and positioning the NIT at the first address on the first broadcasting port makes no surprising contribution over the prior art.
- 4. The reasons set out above also apply to independent claim 6, which is the apparatus claim corresponding to the combinations of technical features in claims 1 to 5. As a result, claim 6 is not inventive, contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3).

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050463

	FC1/1R2004/030403	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
5.	Similarly, since the use of IP as a transport	
	layer for DVB streams has no surprising effects	
	(D2), it would be obvious that IP broadcasting	
	properties (address and port number) have to be	
	used. This leads to the subject matter of claim 7	
	and, as a result, said claim is not inventive	
	contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 33(2)	
	and 33(3).	